

---

WE are assured that at least two of the places of Republican contractors, and first class workmen at that, have been filled by the employment of democrats, and all owing to "stoppage of business!" Was there ever anything more barefaced than that the late movement of Colt's in discharging 56 Republicans, 8 Democrats and 2 Americans, was nothing more nor less than political proscription? The fact that Republicans, first-class workmen, have been discharged and their places filled by Democrats, and the fact that where two contractors, one of each party, had a job in company, and in every instance the Republican is commanded to leave and the Democrat is retained, even where the contractors themselves might desire to arrange it differently, is conclusive. The *Times* condemns such things in others, why is it silent now?

---

"They [the Republicans] backed down here in Connecticut from their position on John Brown."—*Times*.

What is the use of such reckless, false assertions? Your readers know better. The Republicans, as a party, never took any position respecting John Brown, but that of condemnation. Read the resolutions of their State Convention. The party are not responsible for individual opinion.

---

The *COURANT* has more than once said, "A. E. BURN has been seen in Wall street with the Collector of New York."—*Times*.

The *Times* will please give day and date. Cannot you speak the truth? The statement is unqualifiedly false.

---

WHO ARE THEY?—Who are the "scallawags" of Suffield already bought and to be bought by Sam Austin?—*Times*.

Not a man of them are Republicans. "Who are they?"

---