Hon. Mr. GERRY's Objections to signing the National Constitution $_{\rm Gerry,\,E}$ Connecticut Courant and Weekly Intelligencer (1778-1791); Nov 12, 1787; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: Hartford Courant gg. 2 T.

Hon. Mr. GERRY's Objections to figning the National Confliction.

NEW YORK, 18th October 1787. GENTLEMEN.

HAVE the honour to inclose, pursuant to my commission, the constitution proposed by the Federal

Convention.

To this fystem I gave my distent, and shall submit my objections to the honourable Legislature.

It was painful for me, on a subject of such national importance, to differ from the respectable members who signed the conditution: But conceiving as I did, that the liberties of America were not secured by the system, it was my duty to oppose it.—

My principal objections to the plan, are that there is no edequate provision for a representation of the people—that they have no security for the right of election—that some of the powers of the Legislature are ambiguous, and others indefinite and dangerous—that the Executive is blended with and will have an undue influence over the Legislature—that the judicial department will be oppressive—that treaties of the highest importance may be sounded by the President with the advice of two thirds of a quorom of the Senate—and that the system is without the security of a bill of rights. These are objections which are not local but apply equally to all the States.

As the Communical was called for to the site and extensions.

States. As the Convention was called for the fole and express purpose of revising the Articles of Confederation, and reporting to Congress and the several Legislatures such alterations and provisions as the several confederations. As the Convention was called for 'the fole and express' purpose of revising the Articles of Confederation, and reporting to Congress and the several Legislatures such alterations and provisions as shall render the Federal Constitution adequate to the exigences of government and the preservation of the union,' I did not conceive that these powers extended to the formation of the plan proposed, but the Convention being of a different opinion, I acquiesced in it, being sully convinced that to preserve the union, an efficent government was indispensibly necessary; and that it would be dissible to make proper amendments to the articles of Confederation.

The Constitution proposed has few, if any federal seatures, but is rather a system of national government: Nevertheles, in many respects I think it has great merit, and by proper amendments may be adapted to the "exigencies of government and preservation of liberty."

The question on this plan involves others of the highest importance—1st. whether there shall be a dissolution of the sederal government? 2dly. Whether the several state Governments shall be so altered, as in effect to be dissolved; and 3dly. Whether in lieu of the sederal and State Governments, the national Constitution now proposed shall be substituted without amendment? Never perhaps were a people called on to decide a question of greater magnitude—Should the citizens of America adopt the plan as it now stands, their liberties may be lost: Or should they reject it altogether, Anarchy may ensue. It is evident therefore, that they should not be pecipitate in their decisions; that the subject should be well understood, less they should result to suppose a substitution, as well as those who are a gainst it, should preserve moderation, their discussions may afford much information and finally direct to an happy issue.

It may be urged by some that an implicit considence should be placed in the Convention: But, however respectable the members may be wno signed the Constitution, it must be admitted, that a

And some may conceive, that if the plan is not accepted by the people, they will not unite in another: But surely whill they have the power to amend, they are not under the necessity of rejecting it.

I have been detained here longer than I expected, but shall leave this place in a day or two for Massachusetts, and on my arrival shall submit the reasons (if required by the Legislature) on which my objections are grounded.

I shall only add, that as the welfare of the union requires a better Consistention than the Consederation, I shall think it my duty as a citizen of Massachusetts, to support that which shall be finally adopted, sincerely hoping it will secure the liberty and happiness of America.

I have the honour to be, Gentlemon, with the highest respect for the honourable Legislature and yourselves, your most obedient, and very humble servant.

E. GERRY.

our most obedient, and very number servant.

E. GERRY.

The Hon. SAMUEL ADAMS, Esq.

President of the SENATE; and

The Hon. JAMES WARREN, Esq.

Speaker of the House of Representatives of Massactusetts.